

3.1Earth pressures, earth pressure coefficients

In the conventional design of the retaining wall, **lateral earth pressure** is one of the most important input conditions. In the discussion of the earth pressure, if possible, we should better use effective stress separating pore water pressure from total stress.

In level ground, vertical earth pressures can be calculated from the equilibrium conditions as follows:

$$\sigma_{v} = \int_{0}^{z_{0}} \gamma(z) dz \quad (1) \qquad \qquad \frac{\partial \sigma_{x}}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial \tau_{zx}}{\partial z} = 0$$

$$\sigma'_{v} = \sigma_{v} - u(z_{0}) \quad (2) \qquad \qquad \frac{\partial \tau_{xz}}{\partial x} + \frac{\partial \sigma_{z}}{\partial z} = \gamma$$

Here σ_v and σ'_v are total and effective vertical stresses at the depth z_0 and $\gamma(z)$ and u(z) are bulk density and pore water pressure at the depth z. But horizontal stresses σ_h may not be determined from equilibrium conditions. *(Why??)*

| 200712/13 | Stability Analysis in Geotech. Eng. | 2 |
|-----------|-------------------------------------|---|
| | by J. Takemura | |

σ_h is statically indeterminate(不静定)

As the horizontal pressure acting on the wall varies according to the movement of the wall (i.e., strain as shown in **Fig.1**), additional condition on the strain of soil (compatibility condition) is necessary in order to determine the horizontal pressures. Normally horizontal pressure is described by using the earth pressure coefficient K.

Effective stress: $p(=\sigma'_{h}) = K\sigma'_{v}$ (3) (有効)土圧

Total stress : $p_L(=\sigma_h) = K_L \sigma_v$ (4) 側圧

Some special cases or conditions to determine the horizontal stresses are earth pressure at rest, active pressure and passive pressure.

200712/13

Stability Analysis in Geotech. Eng. by J. Takemura

3



3.2 Earth pressure at rest

Initial condition is one of the crucial factors in the prediction of the behavior of the ground. Unless the proper initial conditions is specified, reliable results is hardly obtained from the analysis (ex, FEM, simple Winkler type spring model). Earth pressure at rest $(K_0 \text{ pressure:} \# \pm \pm \Xi)$ is very important pressure, as it is normally adopted as the initial horizontal stress for level grounds.

From the definition of K_0 pressure, i.e., $\varepsilon_h=0$, in isotropic elastic media K_0 pressure can be obtained from Hooke's law.

$$K_{0} = \sigma'_{h} / \sigma'_{v} = \frac{\nu'}{1 - \nu'} \qquad (5)$$

where v' is Poisson 's ratio of the soil. Another elastic constant Young's modulus *E* has nothing to do with K_0 . It should be noted that <u> K_0 value must be used for the effective stress not the total stress</u>.

200712/13

Stability Analysis in Geotech. Eng. by J. Takemura 5



Poisson's ratio v' has to be given in order to evaluate K_0 value using Eq.(5). However, the determination of v' is much more difficult than direct measurement of K_0 . Hence some empirical equations are normally used for the determination. **1) Normally consolidated soils:** Jaky's equation using angle of internal friction ϕ' (**Fig.4**) $K_{0NC} = 1 - \sin \phi'$ ⁽⁶⁾

2) Overconsolidated soils:

For an identical soil, K_0 value varies with its stress history ($OCR=\sigma'_{max}/\sigma'_{v}$, unloading and reloading condition) as shown in **Fig.3**.

200712/13





Mayne's equation on K_0 of OC soils

Mayne^{*)} proposed the following equation for OC clay in both unloading and reloading conditions. (*) Mayne, P. W. and Kulhawy, F. K.: K_0 -OCR Relationships in Soils, Journal of Geotechnical Eng. Div. ASCE, Vol.108, No.GT6, pp.851-872, 1982.)

For unloading process:

$$K_{0U} = K_{0NC} \cdot OCR^{\alpha} \tag{7}$$

where α is rebound parameter. He found a good correlation between $\sin \phi$ ' and α and give the following equation. (Fig.5)

$$K_{0U} = K_{0NC} \cdot OCR^{\sin\phi'} \qquad (8)$$

For reloading process:

$$K_{0R} = K_{0NC} \left(\frac{OCR}{OCR_{\text{max}}^{(1-\sin\phi')}} \right) + \frac{3}{4} K_{0NC} \left(1 - \frac{OCR}{OCR_{\text{max}}} \right)$$
(9)
200712/13 Stability Analysis in Geotech. Eng. 9

Fig.5 Relationship between at rest Cohesive Soils rebound parameter α and sin ϕ ' O CL (Myane:1982) 0.8 0 CH ĸ Unknown Unified Peate Q Cohesionless Rebound parameter, Cl Ref (62) 0.6 Other Sources 04 0.2 0.2 0.4 0.6 0.8 1.0 sin Ø 200712/13 Stability Analysis in Geotech. Eng. 10 by J. Takemura







And from Eq.(11), Equation of Rankine's pressures are derived.
For active pressure :

$$p_{A} = \sigma'_{hA} = (\gamma_{t}z+q)\tan^{2}\left(45^{\circ}-\frac{\phi'}{2}\right) - 2c'\tan\left(45^{\circ}-\frac{\phi'}{2}\right) \quad (12)$$

$$= (\gamma_{t}z+q)K_{AR} - 2c'\sqrt{K_{AR}}$$
For passive pressure :

$$p_{P} = \sigma'_{hP} = (\gamma_{t}z+q)\tan^{2}\left(45^{\circ}+\frac{\phi'}{2}\right) + 2c'\tan\left(45^{\circ}+\frac{\phi'}{2}\right) \quad (13)$$

$$= (\gamma_{t}z+q)K_{PR} + 2c'\sqrt{K_{PR}}$$
where K_{AR} and K_{PR} are coefficients of Rankine's pressure.

$$K_{AR} = \tan^{2}\left(45^{\circ}-\frac{\phi'}{2}\right)(14)$$

$$K_{PR} = \tan^{2}\left(45^{\circ}+\frac{\phi'}{2}\right)(15)$$
200712/13
Stability Analysis in Geotech. Eng.
by J. Takemura
$$M = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2}\right) \left(\frac{1}{2} + \frac{1}{2}\right) = \frac{1}{2}$$

In the application of Rankine's pressure to the lateral pressure acting on the wall, smooth condition (i.e., $\tau=0$) on the wall surface is theoretically required to secure the assumption that horizontal stress is a principle stress (σ_1 or σ_3). If considerable friction is expected on the wall, it should be taken into account especially for the passive pressure.

(2) Coulomb pressures

By using the Coulomb's earth pressure, the friction on the wall surface can be taken into account. Coulomb's earth pressures are given by the total force acting on the wall.

Coulomb's earth pressure theory is first application of **LEM** in geotechnical problem.

200712/13

Stability Analysis in Geotech. Eng. by J. Takemura 15





$$\frac{\text{For active: } P_{\underline{A}}}{P_{A} = \frac{1}{2} \cdot \gamma_{t} \cdot H^{2} \cdot K_{AC}}$$

$$K_{AC} = \frac{\sin^{2}(\theta - \phi')}{\sin^{2} \theta \cdot \sin(\theta + \delta)} \left\{ 1 + \sqrt{\frac{\sin(\phi' + \delta) \cdot \sin(\phi' - \beta)}{\sin(\theta + \delta) \cdot \sin(\theta - \beta)}} \right\}^{-2} \quad (16)$$

$$\frac{\text{For passive : } P_{\underline{P}}}{P_{P} = \frac{1}{2} \cdot \gamma_{t} \cdot H^{2} \cdot K_{PC}}$$

$$K_{PC} = \frac{\sin^{2}(\theta + \phi')}{\sin^{2} \theta \cdot \sin(\theta - \delta)} \left\{ 1 - \sqrt{\frac{\sin(\phi' + \delta) \cdot \sin(\phi' + \beta)}{\sin(\theta - \delta) \cdot \sin(\theta - \beta)}} \right\}^{-2} \quad (17)$$
where K_{AC} and K_{PC} are coefficients of Coulomb's earth pressures, δ friction angle of the wall surface and θ inclination of wall and β slope angle of the back fill. (**Fig.8**)













| | Effect | Rankine's Coloumb's pressures | | | | | | |
|----------------|----------------|-------------------------------------|----------------|-------------|---|--------------|-------------|-------|
| Fable | e 1 Caquo | ot and Keri | sel's Redu | ction Facto | or, <i>R</i> , for Pa / \\$ / | assive Press | sure Calcul | ation |
| φ' | 0.7 | 0.6 | 0.5 | 0.4 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.0 |
| 10 | 0.978 | 0.962 | 0.946 | 0.929 | 0.912 | 0.898 | 0.881 | 0.864 |
| 15 | 0.961 | 0.934 | 0.907 | 0.881 | 0.854 | 0.830 | 0.803 | 0.775 |
| 20 | 0.939 | 0.901 | 0.862 | 0.824 | 0.787 | 0.752 | 0.716 | 0.678 |
| 25 | 0.912 | 0.860 | 0.808 | 0.759 | 0.711 | 0.666 | 0.620 | 0.574 |
| | 0.878 | 0.811 | 0.746 | 0.686 | 0.627 | 0.574 | 0.520 | 0.467 |
| 30 | | | a second | 0 (00 | 0 500 | 0 475 | 0.417 | 0.363 |
| 30 35 | 0.836 | 0.752 | 0.674 | 0.603 | 0.536 | 0.475 | 0.417 | 0.504 |
| 30 35 40 | 0.836 0.783 | 0.752 0.682 | 0.674 0.592 | 0.603 0.512 | 0.536 | 0.475 | 0.316 | 0.262 |

| | δ (°) | Coulomb (LEM:straight line) | Caquot.Kerisel (LEM:log-spiral) | Sokolovsky (Slip line method) |
|--------|-------|--------------------------------|------------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| | 0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 |
| φ'=30° | 10 | 4.2 | 4.2 | 4.2 |
| | 20 | (6.1) | 5.4 | 5.4 |
| | 30 | 10.0 | 6.4 | 6.6 |
| | 0 | 3.7 | 3.7 | 3.7 |
| φ'=35° | 10 | 5.3 | 5.3 | 5.3 |
| | 20 | (8.3) | 7.5 | 7.3 |
| | 30 | 15.3 | 9.7 | 9.6 |
| | 0 | 4.6 | 4.6 | 4.6 |
| | 10 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 7.1 |
| φ'=40° | 20 | (11.9) | 10.4 | 9.7 |
| | 30 | 25.1 | (14.6) | 13.9 |
| | 40 | 92.3 | 17.5 | 18.2 |

3.4 Earth pressure in clay and sand

From Eq.s (11) to (17), effective stress and γ_t are used, which means these Eq.s correspond to earth pressure above the water table where the total stresses in the soil are the same as the effective stresses. In saturated clay layers, however, evaluation of excess pore water pressure due to shearing is so difficult that the failure criteria in terms of effective stress cannot be adopted in the design. Instead, the failure criteria in terms of total stress (ϕ_u =0; **Fig.12(a)**) is usually used for the clay by the assumption of undrained condition because the migration or seepage of water in clay is so slow compared with duration of construction.

 $K_{AR} = K_{PR} = 1$ obtained from Eq.s (14) &(15) with the condition of $\phi_u = 0$, and the undrained shear strength c_u derives the following equations from Eq.s (12) &(13) of Rankin's pressure.

for active: $p_{LA} = \sigma_A = (\gamma_{sat} z + q) - 2c_u$ (19) for passive: $p_{Lp} = \sigma_P = (\gamma_{sat} z + q) + 2c_u$ (20) 200712/13 Stability Analysis in Geotech. Eng. by J. Takemura 26 On the other hand, fully drained condition ($\Delta u=0$) can be achieved in sand layers for normal construction speed and zero cohesion can be usually assumed under water in the sand (Fig.12(b)). Fig.13 shows typical examples of horizontal earth pressure in both clay and sand layers. In clay layers with small c_u no much difference in the active and passive pressure, while in sand layers water pressure dominates in the lateral pressure in the active side.





3.4 Variation of earth pressure with wall movement

Earth pressure distributions along the flexible wall like sheet pile wall does not linearly increase like the distributions shown in Fig.13, but vary according to the deflection of the wall (see Fig. 14,15). From many observations in various soil conditions (Fig.16), empirical distributions are used in the design mainly for the design of struts.















